Voice Conversion through Residual Warping in a Sparse, Anchor-Based Representation of Speech

Christopher Liberatore, Guanlong Zhao, and Ricardo Gutierrez-Osuna

Abstract

- **Objective:** Improve the synthesis quality of voice conversion in a sparse, anchor-based representation of speech (SABR) [1]
- **Motivation:** Just using anchors in voice conversion results in low-quality synthesis due to a large source residual that was not used in voice conversion
- **Problem:** The source residual needs to be considered, but may contain speaker identity and needs to be converted to the target speaker
- **Solution:** Use source and target anchors to learn warping functions to warp the source residual to the target



Sparse Anchor-Based Representation (SABR)

SABR represents an utterance X using phonetic anchors A and a weight vector W. A residual term R accounts for the error in the SABR approximation:



We compute W using Lasso regression: $\min_{W} ||X - A_{S}W||^{2} + \lambda ||W||_{1} s.t.W \in [0,1] \text{ (eq. 2)}$

We use W to estimate the target envelope using target anchors A_T :

$$X_T \cong A_T W$$
 (eq. 3)

This approximation needs to account for the residual. We cannot just add R from eq. 1. Therefore, we use the anchors to learn a warping function:

$$X_T \cong A_T W + F_R(R)$$
 (eq. 4)



Residual Warping

- Using the source and target anchors, we estimate a transform T_k for each anchor k minimizing $\left\|A_s^k - T_k A_T^k\right\|_2^2$
- Vocal Tract Length Normalization (VTLN) functions can be represented as linear transforms of MFCCs [2]
- For VTLN, we use a **piecewise linear warping function** with parameters ω_k and p_k (inflection frequency and slope)
- For each frame, we use the weights to learn a warping function from the learned anchor warps
- Using the weights W and transforms T, the full voice conversion method including residual warping becomes



Corpus and Parameters

- **Corpus:** ARCTIC speech corpus, speakers BDL, CLB, RMS, and SLT
- Anchor selection: one anchor for each phoneme by computing the centroid of MFCCs from frames with that label
- **Anchor features:** *MFCC*₁₋₂₄ (excluding energy)
- Sparsity penalty: $\lambda = 0.025$
- **Warp parameters:** Inflection frequency $w_k \in [0.4 \ 0.8]$ Slope parameter $p_k \in [0.8, 1.2]$

$$T_k(\omega_k, p_k)
ight) R_i$$
 (eq. 5)



Experiments

We compared three different systems: **SABR** (eq. 3), **SABR+Res** (eq. 5), and a 40-mixture **GMM** with diagonal covariances. Models were trained on the same utterances.





Conclusions

- VC error increased

Future work:

- function via the Fused Lasso [3]

References

[1] C. Liberatore, S. Aryal, et al. "SABR: Sparse, Anchor-Based Representation of the Speech Signal," Interspeech 2015.

- pp. 42-64, 2009.
- Annals of Statistics (2011): 1335-1371.



Residual warping improved rated acoustic quality though

• The increased VC error **did not affect** the ability for a listener to perceive the identity of the speaker

1. Determine ideal anchor sets, as some phoneme classes may be ill-suited for single-vector anchors (e.g. stops). 2. Add temporal smoothness constraints in the objective

[2] S. Panchapagesan and A. Alwan, "Frequency warping for VTLN and speaker adaptation by linear transformation of standard MFCC," Computer Speech & Language, vol. 23, no. 1,

[3] Tibshirani, Ryan J., and Jonathan Taylor. "The Solution Path of the Generalized Lasso." The

